

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 ANTONIO KEATON,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-03-0864 GEB CMK P

12 vs.

13 T. FELKER, et al.,

14 Defendants.


ORDER

15 _____/
16 On August 19, 2005, plaintiff filed a “motion and declaration for appointment of
17 counsel” and a “letter brief.” These documents were not served on defendants. Plaintiff is
18 advised that every document submitted to the court for consideration must be served on
19 defendants. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5. Documents not to be served electronically are usually served by
20 placing a copy in the U.S. mail. If an attorney has filed a document with the court on behalf of
21 any defendant, then documents submitted by plaintiff must be served on that attorney and not on
22 the defendants. Every document submitted conventionally to the court (e.g., by a prisoner
23 proceeding pro se) must include a certificate stating the date an accurate copy of the document
24 was mailed to defendants or their attorney and the address to which it was mailed. See Local
25 Rule 5-135(b) and (c).

26 /////

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's August 19, 2005
2 "motion and declaration for appointment of counsel" and a "letter brief" are denied without
3 prejudice. Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to properly serve any documents subsequently filed
4 in this action, and failure to include a proper certificate of service with such filing, may result in
5 a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

6
7 DATED: August 29, 2005.

8
9 
10 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26